172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-227/E-167485/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dr. Jagannath Mishra Mahavidyalaya B.Ed., Bara Jagannath, H.P.O. Jail Road, Mushari, Muzaffarpur, Bihar dated 19/10/2020 is against the Order No. ERC/226.9.13/8954/D.El.Ed.(Addl. Course)/Not Generated/2016/50632 dated 26.12.2016 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "processing fee submitted through DD which is not acceptable. Application ID is not shown in the Online Dash Board."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Anant Jha, Advisor, Dr. Jagannath Mishra Mahavidyalaya B.Ed., Bara Jagannath, H.P.O. Jail Road, Mushari, Muzaffarpur, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Due to illness of the Principal the institute could not reply properly against the show cause vide letter no. 49303 dated 20.09.2016 and that time the institute could not submit the new demand draft due to server problem and the earlier submitted demand draft no. 034417 dated 27.05.2016. could not receive till now."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the submission of the appeal has been delayed by three years, seven months and 21 days beyond the prescribed period of sixty days.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the provisions of Section 18 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, any person aggrieved by an order made under Section 14 or Section 15 or Section 17 of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within such period as may be prescribed. According to the provisions of Rule 10 of the NCTE Rules, 1997, any person aggrieved by an order made under the above mentioned Sections of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within sixty days of issue of such

orders. According to the provisions of Section 18 (2) of the NCTE Act, no appeal shall be admitted if it is preferred after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor; provided such an appeal may be admitted after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, if the appellant satisfied the Council that he had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, in the appeal, has merely stated that the reason for delay is "severe illness of the Principal for a long time." The Committee did not consider that this vague statement is a sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period. The Committee, therefore decided not to admit the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that the appellant, in the appeal, has merely stated that the reason for delay is "severe illness of the Principal for a long time." The Committee did not consider that this vague statement is a sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period. The Committee, therefore decided not to admit the appeal.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Dr. Jagannath Mishra Mahavidyalaya B.Ed., Bara Jagannath, H.P.O. Jail Road, Mushari, Muzaffarpur, Bihar – 842001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-228/E-167480/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bihar College of Education, Vill.-Jadhua, Baraitola, PO-Hazipur, Vaishali (Hazipur), Bihar dated 13/10/2020 is against the Order No. ERC/283.28/ERCAPP37-2012/B.Ed./2020/63033 dated 15.09.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "original FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh and Rs. 7 lakh have not been submitted. Validity of Fire Safety Certificate has expired on 31.05.2020. Requisite information of the institutional website has not updated as per clause 7(14)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE, Act 1993 from the next academic session 2021-2022."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Sunil Kumar, Representative and Sh. Anil Kumar, Secretary, Bihar College of Education, Vill.-Jadhua, Baraitola, PO-Hazipur, Vaishali (Hazipur), Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. The appellant with the appeal, submitted copies of FDRs for (i) Rs. 7 lakhs dt. 06/10/2020 issued by Bank of Baroda, Hajipur with maturity date of 06/10/2025 and jointly held with Regional Director, ERC and (ii) Rs. 5 lakhs dt. 05/09/2020 issued by Bank of Maharashtra, Hajipur with maturity date of 05/09/2025 and jointly held with Regional Director, ERC. The appellant also enclosed a copy of the Fire Safety Certificate valid from 22/09/2020 to 21/09/2021 and a down loaded copy of their website.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant has submitted the documents found wanting in the withdrawal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider these documents, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, all the documents submitted in

appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to consider documents, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Bihar College of Education, Vill.-Jadhua, Baraitola, PO-Hazipur, Vaishali (Hazipur), Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Bihar College of Education, Vill.-Jadhua, Baraitola, PO-Hazipur, Vaishali (Hazipur), Bihar – 844101.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-229/E-167576/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Maryada Purushotam College of Education, Purana Bhojpur, Chilhari, Dumraon, Buxar, Bihar dated 21/10/2020 is against the Order No. ERC/284.41/ERCAPP7/2012/B.Ed./2020/63144 dated 07.10.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "original list of teaching faculty duly signed by the concerned affiliating body is not submitted. Original Building Plan (BP) duly signed by the competent authority is not submitted. Building Completion Certificate (BCC) duly signed by the competent authority is not submitted. Original FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh and Rs. 7 lakh is not submitted. Certified copy of Fire Safety Certificate duly signed by the Govt. competent authority is not. Submitted. Website of tile institution is not functional as per clause 7(14)(i) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Hulkar, Treasurer, Maryada Purushotam College of Education, Purana Bhojpur, Chilhari, Dumraon, Buxar, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Opportunity to submit these original documents in response to ERC final show cause notice Dated 28.01.2020 was not provided to the applicant institution because in Para 3 of ERC final show cause notice Dated 28.01.2020 , It was wrongly mentioned that in response to first show cause notice Dated 15.05.2018 , the Institution had not submitted any reply . After issuing final SCN dated 28-1-2020, ERC again requested the applicant institution to submit the duplicate copy of reply submitted against first SCN dated 15.05.2018. But infact, our institution had submitted its reply against First ERC Show Cause Notice dated 15.05.2018 to ERC NCTE, Bhubaneshwar as explained

below:- A. College had submitted its reply in PDF Format through E-mail ID : (revisedordercomplianceerc2015@gmail.com) on 4/6/2018. The copy of email reply submitted to ERC in PDF Format is attached as Annexure – 11 which is true. In First SCN dated 15.05.2018, it was directed to submit the reply on E-mail ID: (revisedordercomplianceerc2015@gmail.com) and hard copy of the same through speed post or by hand to the ERC office. B. College also submitted its reply by speed post letter dated 4/6/2018 with speed post ID : EH722906864IN. The Copy of the reply submitted by Speed Post is attached as Annexure – 12 which is true. The above two replies were not considered in 284th Meeting of the ERC held on 17th & 18th September, 2020. It is respectfully submitted that the applicant college fulfils all the conditions of revised recognition order. The applicant institution has completely complied against the ERC final SCN Dated 28/01/2020.The following Original documents are being submitted & are attached for your kind consideration: - 1. Original list of Teaching Faculty for session 2020-21 onwards duly signed by Registrar, Aryabhatta Knowledge University, Patna (affiliating body) is attached as Annexure – 4 which is true. 2. Original Building Plan (BP) duly signed by the competent authority is attached as Annexure – 5 which is true. 3. Original Building Completion Certificate (BCC) duly signed by the competent authority is attached as Annexure – 6 which is true. 4. Original FDR of Rs. 5 Lakhs is attached as Annexure – 7 which is true. 5. Original FDR of Rs. 7 Lakhs is attached as Annexure – 8 which is true. 6. Original copy of Fire Safety Certificate issued by Fire Officer, Dumraon, Distt. Buxar (Bihar) is attached as Annexure – 9 which is true. 7. Website of Institution is functional as per clause 7(14) (i) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014. A print copy of the homepage of College website i.e. www.maryadacoe.com is attached as Annexure – 10 which is true. It is respectfully submitted that the applicant institution has full filled all the conditions of revised recognition order and has complied against ERC Final SCN Dated 28/01/2020 completely and has submitted all the original documents in response to ERC Final SCN Dated 28/01/2020.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the file of the ERC that a Show Cause Notice was issued to the appellant institution on 15/05/2018 pointing out deficiencies in the documents. The appellant was directed to submit their reply in PDF format through

email and hard copy of the same through Speed Post or by hand within 21 days. As no reply was received, ERC issued a final Show Cause Notice on 28/01/2020. The appellant replied on 14/02/2020 stating that they had sent a reply to the Show Cause Notice dt. 15/05/2018 on 04/06/2018, which was not considered by the ERC before issuing the final Show Cause Notice dt. 28/01/2020. The ERC, in their letter dt. 06/03/2020, asked the appellant to submit a duplicate copy of their reply submitted against the Show Cause Notice dt. 15/05/2018. The appellant has not replied to the letter. However, the appellant with their appeal enclosed a copy of their reply dt. 04/06/2018, with which some documents were sent. A Speed Post receipt dt. 04/06/2018 has been copied on this letter. This reply is not found in the file of the ERC.

AND WHEREAS during the course of appeal hearing on 03/12/2020 appellant was asked to show evidence to establish that appellant institution had required number of faculty appointed with the approval of affiliating body. The Committee noted that the reply of the appellant to the first Show Cause Notice is not available in the file of the ERC. The appellant, had also not responded to the ERC's letter dated 06/03/2020 calling for a duplicate copy of the reply. Although the appellant has now furnished list of faculty, Building Completion Certificate, Building Plan, FDRs and printout of its website, yet it is observed that all the faculty is shown appointed on 12/10/2020. Appellant did neither give any satisfactory reply with regard to faculty appointed prior to October, 2020 nor was willing to submit proof of remittance of salary into the accounts of staff and faculty as required under Clause 10 (2) of NCTE Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee keeping in view the facts of the case, decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 07/10/2020

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 07/10/2020.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Treasurer, Maryada Purushotam College of Education, Chilhari, Purana Bhojpur, Chilhari, Dumraon, Buxar, Bihar – 802133.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-230/E-167583/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sanjay Gandhi College of Education, R.T. Nagar Post Cholanagar, Bangalore North, Bangalore, Karnataka dated 19/10/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS03352/B.Ed/KA/2020-117896 dated 09.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "at the time of recognition, the name of the management was "Jawahar Bharathi Educational Trust, Vasanthanagar, Bangalore-560052" and now as per land documents the Management name is "Sir M. Visvesvaraya Education Trust, Bangalore". Institution has not submitted certified copy of registered land. The institution has submitted NEC in regional language. The institution has not submitted Notarized English translated copy of the same. Latest staff list duly approved by the Registrar, affiliating university is not submitted. Photocopy Staff list approved by the Registrar (Evaluation) Bengaluru University consisting of One principal, Four Assistant Professor & three Lecturers submitted. (i) Percentage of marks in r/o Assistant Professor at (S.No.2, 3, & 5) is less than 55 in M.Ed. (ii) the institution has submitted the staff list One Principal, Four Assistant Professors, Three Lecturers against the requirement for B.Ed. one basic unit 1+8 full time staff."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Latha Kumari, Principal, Sanjay Gandhi College of Education, R.T. Nagar Post Cholanagar, Bangalore North, Bangalore, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Sanjay Gandhi College of Education was established in 1980 by founder chairman P. Sadasivan, under Jawahar Bharathi Education Trust. It is permanently affiliated to Bangalore Central University and also accredited by NAAC. It is a Grant–in-Aid institution of Govt. of Karnataka. Our institution is recognized by NCTE since 1996 till date. It is recognized under 2(f) and 12 (B) of UGC Act 1956. It is one of

the renowned teacher education institutions in Bangalore, which has been successfully providing quality education and serving in the field of teacher education for 40 years. Subsequently in the year 1983, Chairman Sri. P. Sadasivan being founder trustee also registered Sir. M. Visvesvaraya Education Trust and Trustees being Dr. S. Juno, Mr. S.Sunil, Mr. S. Bajore, and Dr. Sida Tagore and established many educational institution conducting various courses in Engineering, Dental Science, Management, and Nursing etc., in a common campus at RGC Campus, Cholanagar, Hebbal, R.T. Nagar Post, Bangalore 560032 along with managing Sanjay Gandhi College of Education as its sister concern. The Chairman and the board of trustees of Jawahar Bharathi Education Trust and Sir M Visvesvaraya Education Trust are one and the same Jawahar Bharathi Education Trust: Chairman: Sri P. Sadasivan Trustees: Dr.S. Juno Sri. S. Sunil Sri. S. Bajore Dr. S. Sida Tagore Sir.M.Visvesvaraya Education Trust: Chairman : Sri. P. Sadasivan Trustees: Dr.s. Juno Sri. S. Sunil Sri. S. Bajore Dr. S. Sida Tagore in order to make administration convenient and to upgrade Sanjay Gandhi College of Education by fulfilling the Norms and Standards of revised Regulations of NCTE 2014, the management decided to merge Jawahar Bharathi Education Trust with Sir. M. Visvesvaraya Education Trust, as the objectives of both the Trusts and Trustees are one and the same. In a joint proceedings, both the trusts were merged for future development and expansion of the institution (proceedings are enclosed). The same was legally registered in the sub registrar office through deed of amalgamation, dated 19.08.2019 (deed of amalgamation is enclosed). Only the name of the trust is changed to Sir M Visvesvaraya Education Trust, the members remain same. Accordingly, Sanjay Gandhi College of Education is now managed by Sir M Visvesvaraya Education Trust. Therefore, the land document of Visvesvaraya Education Trust is submitted. The land was registered in the name of Sir. M. Visvesvaraya Education Trust for running various educational institutions including Sanjay Gandhi College of Education. The NCTE had granted the recognition to the college from the year 1996 with a sanctioned intake of 100 seats, considering the facilities available to the college as per NCTE Norms. In 2014, when the amended regulations came into force for conducting the B.Ed. program for 2 years with intake capacity for one unit as 50, the revised recognition order insisted for additional land and building facility for considering two units (100 seats) for the existing institutions. Even

though, we had initially asked for the two units considering the present requirement for the land and building facilities, we requested for One unit with 50 students only. Accordingly, the revised recognition order was issued with a corrigendum dated 30/06/2015. The same was fully complied by the college. The college has not violated any of the conditions of the recognition as per the regulations. The college has been functioning at the same place and address since its recognition from NCTE in 1996. The same was explained as a reply to the final show cause notice and we humbly request your goodself to consider the same. Certified copy of land documents obtained from the sub registrar office is enclosed. The notarized copy of English version of NEC is enclosed. Latest staff list duly approved by the Registrar; affiliating university is enclosed. (i)Assistant Professors at serial numbers 2, 3 and 4 are appointed before 07.02.1994. According to GO ED96 UNI91, Bangalore, dated 4th July 1994 the minimum percentage of 55 in M.Ed. is not applicable to candidates who have appointed before 07.02.1994. The appointments of the above staff members have been approved by Bangalore Central University and also by government of Karnataka during the grant-in aid process. Assistant Professor at serial number 5 has got 65 in M.Ed. and doesn't come under this category. The Institution had staff pattern of 1 + 8 + 4 for one basic unit as permanent and regular faculty which includes one Principal, four faculty of perspective in Education, four faculty of pedagogy subjects, one Physical Education Director, one faculty of Fine Arts, one faculty of Performing Art and a Librarian and the same list was approved by the Bangalore Central University. The list is enclosed for your kind perusal."

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the detailed submissions of the appellant and the documents submitted vis a vis all the grounds for withdrawal and the approval of the Bengaluru Central University for the faculty accorded in their letter dt. 29/12/2019, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the submissions and documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the submissions and documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sanjay Gandhi College of Education, R.T. Nagar Post Cholanagar, Bangalore North, Bangalore, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Sanjay Gandhi College of Education, R.T. Nagar Post Cholanagar, Bangalore North, Bangalore, Karnataka – 5600032.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-231/E-167952/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Stanley College of Education, Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu dated 26/09/2020 is against the Order No. F.SRC/NCTE/APSO9434/M.Ed./TN/2020/115661 dated 05.03.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institute did not submit originally certified copy of Land documents. The LUC is not on the letter head of issuing authority. The institute did not submit notarized copy of LUC. The building plan is neither approved nor legible. The institution has submitted xerox copy of NEC in English version. BCC neither approved nor legible. The institution did not submit the original FDRs. The institute did not submit the details of managing trust/society. The institute has not uploaded requisite information on website as required under NCTE, Regulations. The faculty namely K. Mariammal, S. Jawaharlal Nehru, Assistant Professor are not eligible NCTE, Regulations. The faculty is not sufficient for one unit of M.Ed. course."

AND WHEREAS the College representative of Stanley College of Education, Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. The appellant in the appeal and their letter dt. 22/11/2020 submitted that "(i) in Tamil Nadu the certified copies of land documents are compularised; (ii) in Tamil Nadu all LUCs are issued on plain paper with stamp duly affixed and not on letter head, (iii) their building plan was approved by the President of Mookareddipatti Panchayat in 2006 and the approval is mentioned at the bottom in Tamil, (iv) they have submitted notarised version of Non Encumbrance Certificate as also English version issued by the Sub-Registrar; (v) Though they submitted Building Completion Certificate at the time of recognition, they obtained one more Building Completion Certificate in the format duly approved by the Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Union, Pappireddipatti; (vi)

they have FDRs for Rs. 12 lakhs and also Form 'A' signed by the Manger, Indian Bank; (vii) the Trust Deed was already submitted while obtaining recognition; (viii) their institution is having website www.stanleyeduplus.com / College providing all details B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses; (ix) due to Covid – 19 situation, the Tamil Nadu Teacher Education University was closed till August, 2020 and their staff list was approved by the Registrar only after the University re-opened; and (x) they have full complement of staff."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant enclosed the documents mentioned in para 2 above. In particular it is seen that the Non – Encumbrance Certificate was issued on 04/09/2020, the L.U.C. in October, 2020 and the Building Completion Certificate was issued after inspection on 14/09/2020. The faculty list containing two professors, two Associate Professors and six Assistant Professors for M.Ed. course was approved by the Registrar, TNTEU on 19/09/2020. The FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs are valid upto 22/02/2022 and 03/10/2022 respectively.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant has submitted the documents found wanting in the order of withdrawal, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in the appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in the appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Stanley College of Education, Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Stanley College of Education, Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri Main Road, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu – 636905.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-232/E-167956/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Stanley College of Education, Mook Areddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu dated 26/09/2020 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO8130/B.Ed./2020/117280 dated 01.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has submitted LUC wherein it has been mentioned that "institution building is under construction." The institution has submitted BCC but the same is not approved by the competent authority. The Building Plan submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority."

AND WHEREAS the College of representative of Stanley College of Education, Mook Areddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. The appellant with their letter dt. 20/11/2020 submitted a copy of Land Use Certificate issued by Tehsildar, Pappireddipatti on 09/09/2020, a copy of Building Completion Certificate, issued after inspection on 14/09/2020 and countersigned by Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Union, Pappireddipatti and a copy of the Building Plan, approved by the President, Mookareddipatti Panchayat.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant submitted the documents found wanting in the order of withdrawal, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Stanley College of Education, Mook Areddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Stanley College of Education, Mook Areddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu – 636905.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-233/E-167954/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Annai India College of Education, Bommidi, Pappireddipatty, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu dated 30/09/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO7877/B.Ed/TN/2020/115538 dated 27.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institute has submitted approval of University only in respect of 8 faculty against the requirement of 1+15 under NCTE Regulations. Total converted area has not been mentioned in the LUC and the LUC is not in proper format of State Government. The institute submitted only 1+7 faculty against the requirement 1+15 under NCTE Regulations. The institute submitted only form 'A' and not submitted original copy of FDRs of Rs.12 lakhs as per NCTE Regulations. The total land area mentioned in the Building Plan do not match with other documents. As per account of annual farmer capture cultivation signed by Zonal Deputy Tahsildar (originally submitted by the institute) the school is being run on the land and the institute did not submit the earmarked Building Plan."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Govindrajan, Principal Incharge, Annai India College of Education, Bommidi, Pappireddipatty, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "They requested for one unit of B.Ed. only for which a faculty of 1+7 is sufficient. The appellant enclosed a copy of faculty list for one unit signed by the Registrar, TNTEU. The file of the SRC contains an affidavit dt. 03/01/2020 submitted by the Management stating the intake in B.Ed. is 50 i.e. one unit. The appellant enclosed a copy of the Land Use Certificate dt. 20/08/2020 in which the area of land is mentioned. The appellant also enclosed a copy of certificate dt. 25/10/2020 issued by Tehsildar, Pappireddipatti, certifying that on the land in Survey No. 36/2A. Annai India College of Education is only running. As regards FDRs, the appellant, alongwith a copy of Letter in Form 'A' issued by Indian Bank wherein the details of two FDRs for Rs. 7 lakhs are Rs. 5

lakhs valid upto September, 2024 are mentioned, enclosed copies of two FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs, which are not valid upto date and one copy of FDR for Rs. 4 lakhs valid upto 24/09/2024. The appellant also submitted a building plan for the college approved by Block Engineer, Panchayat/Union."

AND WHEREAS in view of the above position, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the approved staff list for one unit, the documents submitted by the appellant in respect of LUC, Building Plan, and renewed FDRs in originals for Rs. 12 lakhs and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal along with the originals of upto date FDRs for Rs. 12 lakhs within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the approved staff list for one unit, the documents submitted by the appellant in respect of LUC, Building Plan, and renewed FDRs in originals for Rs. 12 lakhs and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal along with the originals of upto date FDRs for Rs. 12 lakhs within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Annai India College of Education, Bommidi, Pappireddipatty, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Annai India College of Education, Old Oddupatti, 36/2A, Bommidi, Pappireddipatty, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu – 635301.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-234/E-168067/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sipajhar B.Ed. College, Dakshinchuburi, Sipajhar, Ghorabandha Gaon Panchayat, Darrang, Assam dated 10/10/2020 is against the Order No. ER-283.18/APE00314/B.Ed./2020/63003 dated 09.09.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Principal is not eligible, who do not possess M.Ed., which is not permissible as per 5.A of Appendix-4 of Regulation-2014/Norms & Standards."

AND WHEREAS Dr. J.N. Sharma, Secretary, Sipajhar B.Ed. College, Dakshinchuburi, Sipajhar, Ghorabandha Gaon Panchayat, Darrang, Assam presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Reply was sent to the RD, ERC vide our letter no. SPJ/B.Ed./NCTE/2020/726 dated 13-03-2020. Our reply was accepted by the ERC. The Final Show Cause Notice was not received by us in due time. It was received only on 7-9-2020. However, while we voluntarily went through the uploaded proceedings of the ERC 282nd meeting held on 8/9th July 2020, we have derived some information regarding mismatch of the name of the Principal in our website and immediately clarification from our end was sent to ERC both by e-mail dated 3-8-2020 and hard copy thereof. About the qualification of the earlier Principal Dr. Namita Goswami (who is M.A. in Education, B.Ed., M.Phil., Ph.D.) was never questioned by ERC earlier. Even, in the earlier Appeal, our case was remanded back by the Hon'ble Appeal Committee and did not raise any question regarding her qualification during the hearing. But we would like to state that Suo moto, a new Principal with M.Ed. Degree was appointed, and this was communicated to the ERC, NCTE vide our letter no. SPJ/B.ED./NCTE/2020/779 dated 3rd September 2020. That the earlier Principal Dr. Namita Goswami has been released from her post and a new Principal namely Dr. Bairagi Patra has been appointed who is M.Ed. (74), MA in English (75), M.Phil. (61) and Ph.D. in English. Here, we would like to draw the kind

attention of NCTE Authority that without giving any notice about the qualification of Principal, withdrawal of recognition order was issued to us."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the staff list forwarded with the appeal that it was approved by the University on 14/08/2020 and the new Principal Dr. Bairagi Patra, Joined on 04/03/2020 The appellant's letter dt. 03/09/2020 is, however, not found in the file of the ERC. Since the appellant has shown that they have appointed a new Principal, who is qualified and who has also been approved by the University, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider the appointment of a qualified Principal and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC all the documents relating to the appointment of new Principal within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to consider the appointment of a qualified Principal and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC all the documents relating to the appointment of new Principal within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sipajhar B.Ed. College, Dakshinchuburi, Sipajhar, Ghorabandha Gaon Panchayat, Darrang, Assam to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Sipajhar B.Ed. College, Dakshinchuburi, 279 of Patta No. 185, Sipajhar, NH-15, Ghorabandha Gaon Panchayat, Darrang, Assam – 784145.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Assam, Dispur.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-235/E-168210/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Apollo College of Education, Mevaloorkupam, Valarpuram, Padur Road, Sriperumbudur, Kancheepuram, Tamil Nadu dated 27/10/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO3585/B.Ed/TN/2020/115463 dated 27.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution did not submit the letter issued by the affiliating body regarding approval of faculty members. The institution has only submitted proforma signed by the Registrar, TTEU only in respect of 13 against the requirements of 15 under NCTE Regulations. The institution submitted a copy of Building Plan but is neither approved nor legible. BCC is not in format. NEC not submitted. The institution submitted a sale deed dt. 02.12.2004 (survey no. 92 land measuring 1.48 (hec.) which is different from sale deeds dt 28.10.2004 (survey no. 63/2 part land measuring 2.63 acres) and sale deed dt 28.10.2004 (survey no. 63/2 part land measuring 2.63 acres) submitted at the time of grant of recognition. The institution vide letter dated 03.01.2020 submitted its reply / representation in response to Final Show Cause Notice (FSCN), on perusal of the same. The Committee found the above defects / short comings / deficiencies as per provision of the NCTE Regulations, 2014."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Kumarlingum, Secretary, Apollo College of Education, Mevaloorkupam, Valarpuram, Padur Road, Sriperumbudur, Kancheepuram, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "the appellant institution submitted the application dated 03.01.2020 to the SRC, NCTE vide postal document no. et270244380in dated 04.01.2020 2. However, as deficiencies received again from the SRC, NCTE along with the withdrawal order, they have now enclosed here latest staff approved order for 16 staff; a legible copy of Building Plan approved by the President, Mevalurkuppam

Panchayat, Sriperumpudur Union, Kanchipuram District, Tamil Nadu (as per provisions of the Tamil Nadu Panchayats Building Rules, 1997); the Building Completion Certificate as per format required by the SRC, NCTE; and Non-Encumbrance Certificate and its english translated copy as required by the SRC, NCTE. There has been delay on the part of the institution in submission of NEC because of the lockdown due to the pandemic Covid-19. It is submitted that their college is situated at survey no. 92, Mevalarkuppam Village, Sriperumbudur Taluk, Kanchipuram District, Tamil Nadu. So, they have submitted the certified copy of sale deed no. 6257/2004 dated 02/12/2004. It is submitted that the SRC vide its order dated 27.02.2020 has withdrawn their recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified by the institution. However, the SRC, NCTE has not provided neither the opportunity to the appellant institute to rectify the defects / deficiencies nor notify the defects / short comings / deficiencies in the appellant institute reply letter. There has been delay on the part of the institution in filing the appeal because of the lockdown due to the pandemic COVID-19. Kindly condone the delay in interest of justice. The Appellant herein is producing all the documents before the Appeal Committee. It appears that SRC, NCTE proceeded in arbitrary manner neither without considering the documents submitted nor intimation of deficiency in the document to the appellant institute."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided to condone the delay in preferring appeal which was due to pandemic Covid -19 period. The Committee noting that the appellant, with their appeal, submitted (i) a staff list of 16 (including a Principal) duly approved by the Registrar, TNTEU and also a covering letter from the University; (ii) a copy of building plan for Survey No. 92 approved by the President, Mevalurkuppam Panchayat; (iii) a copy of Building Completion Certificate in the format issued after an inspection on 15/05/2020 and duly countersigned by the Assistant Engineer (R.D.) Panchayat Union, Sriperumbudur and the land shown therein is bearing Survey No. 92; and (iv) the Non-Encumbrance Certificate with English Translation, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider these documents, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the

NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider these documents, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Apollo College of Education, Mevaloorkupam, Valarpuram, Padur Road, Sriperumbudur, Kancheepuram, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Apollo College of Education, Mevaloorkupam, 92, Valarpuram, Padur Road, Sriperumbudur, Kancheepuram, Tamil Nadu – 602105.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-236/E-168246/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Model B.P.Ed. College, Paschim Kumar Para, Mohitnagar, Jalpaiguri, West Bengal dated 21/10/2020 is against the Order No. ER-283.30/APE00622/B.P.Ed./2020/62984 dated 07.09.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the is a mismatch between faculty list submitted by the institution and details of the same uploaded in the institutional website. Building Plan (BP) is not approved by the competent Govt. Engineer. There is a mismatch of floor-wise and total built-up area mentioned in Building Plan (BP) and Building Completion Certificate (BCC). FDRs of Rs. 5 Lakh & 7 Lakh is not in joint mode."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Biplav Roy, Assistant Professor, Model B.P.Ed. College, Paschim Kumar Para, Mohitnagar, Jalpaiguri, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. The appellant submitted a copy of the faculty list for B.P.Ed. course of 2019-21 session Countersigned by the Secretary, Under Graduate Council, North Bengal University, a copy of building plan approved by the Sub-Assistant Engineer, North Bengal Development Department, Siliguri, a copy of the Building Completion Certificate, signed by Sub-Assistant Engineer, which shows same built up area as in the building plan and a copy of the letter dt. 19/10/2020 from the State Bank of India, Jalpaiguri Town addressed to the Regional Director, ERC, certifying that the FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs are joint by held with the Regional Director, ERC. Copies of the FDRs have been enclosed by the appellant.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant submitted the required documents vis a vis the grounds of withdrawal, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider these documents, to be submitted to

them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC all the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to consider documents, to be submitted to the by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC all the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Model B.P.Ed. College, Paschim Kumar Para, Mohitnagar, Jalpaiguri, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The President, Model B.P.Ed. College, Paschim Kumar Para, Mohitnagar, Jalpaiguri, West Bengal – 735102.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-237/E-168345/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Prabhath College of Education, Nandyal, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh dated 28/10/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS07580/B.Ed/AP/2020/118313 dated 21.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not shifted its institution to own permanent building, which is a violation of the NCTE Regulations 2002, 3(c). In view of the above, the institution also not replied to remove the following deficiencies: - The institution has also not submitted an application for shifting of premise from rented to owned as required under NCTE Regulations. The institution submitted sale deed survey No. 269/1, 269/3, 270 and at the time of recognition institution submitted lease deed period of three years 19.10.2006-18.10.2009 survey No 864 which is different survey No. The institution has submitted photocopy of land document, but certified copy not submitted. The institution has submitted English version Non-Encumbrance Certificate, but name and Period of search not mentioned. The institution has submitted photocopy of site plan but not legible. The institution has submitted photocopy of Building Completion Certificate, but multipurpose hall not mentioned. The institution has submitted photocopy of Staff list vide dated 28.10.2014 approved by the DEAN CDC College Development Rayalaseema University consisting of one principal & Sixteen Lecturer submitted. The institution has principal appointed list but not approved by the Affiliating body. The institution has submitted photocopy of staff list 1+6 against the requirement 1+15 for B.Ed. 2 units."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Bharth Sartha Babu, Correspondent, Prabhath College of Education, Nandyal, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "In order to appreciate various contentions and averments being raised hereinafter

by the appellant, it is necessary to state the following few relevant facts in brief. That our institution submitted its application to the SRC, for starting the B.Ed. course in the year 25.10.2006 alongwith the other supporting documents, as per the NCTE Regulations. 4. That SRC, conducted expert visit and verified infrastructural & instructional facilities of our institution and issued recognition order dated 19.08.2008 for conducting B.Ed. course of one year from the academic session 2008-09 with an annual intake of 100 students to our institution. A copy of SRC recognition order dated 19.08.2008 is enclosed. That thereafter Rayalaseema University (affiliating university of our institution) vide its letter dated 28.10.2014 granted approval of 7 faculties (1 principal + 7 Lecturers). That NCTE issued the new Regulations in 2014 and the institution submitted its compliance to the said new Regulations. Accordingly, the SRC issued revised recognition order dated 27.04.2015 to our institution. That thereafter affiliating university issued affiliation order dated 15.02.2016 to our institution for the session 2015-16 with sanctioned strength of 50 students. That, accordingly, in compliance of revised recognition order dated 27.04.2015, we vide our letter dispatched on 26.10.2015, informed the SRC that the affiliation for the session 2015-16 has been accorded by affiliating university only in respect of 50 students and only 3 students have joined under convener quota. Accordingly, vide the said letter we requested the SRC to grant sanction of one unit only (50 students) instead of 100 seats from the academic session 2015-16 onwards. That thereafter affiliating university further issued affiliation order dated 11.10.2018 to our institution for the session 2018-19 with sanctioned strength of 50 students only. That thereafter, the SRC issued SCN dated 15.03.2019 to our institution and we vide our letter dated 03.08.2019 submitted our reply to the said SCN dated 15.03.2019 and informed that in view of the sanctioned strength of 50 students by the affiliating university, our institution has already applied for recognition of one unit only (50 seats). That thereafter, the SRC in its 342nd meeting considered our request and decided for reduction of intake from 2 units to 1 unit. That SRC on the basis of general decision taken in its 381st meeting held on 31st Oct & 1st Nov. 2019, issued the SCN to our institution on 20.11.2019 and directed to submit reply. That thereafter, we vide our letter dated 02.12.2019 submitted our reply to the said SCN dated 20.11.2019 and informed that in view of the sanctioned strength of 50 students by the affiliating university, our institution has already

applied for recognition of one unit only (50 seats) and further informed the SRC that the said information was also forwarded by the institute to SRC vide its reply dated 03.08.2019. Vide the said letter dated 02.12.2019 we further requested to grant recognition to our institution only in respect of 50 seats (1 unit) and informed that we do not wish the additional unit. That thereafter, SRC in 383rd meeting held on 7-9th January, 2020 considered the matter of our institution and issued final SCN to our institution on 16.01.2020 and we vide our letter dated 20.01.2020 submitted our reply to SCN dated 16.01.2020 alongwith the other supporting documents. 15. That thereafter, the SRC in its 388th meeting held on 14th & 15th September 2020 considered the matter of our institution and decided to withdraw recognition of our institution. Accordingly, SRC issued the withdrawal order dated 21.09.2020 withdrawing recognition of our institution. 16. That it is submitted that the SRC issued the withdrawal order dated 21.09.2020 withdrawing recognition of our institution on some new grounds which were not part of the show cause notices earlier issued by the SRC and for which no opportunity has been provided to our institution to ratify the same. That it is submitted that however, the appellant herein is hereby presenting before the Appeal Committee the documents desired by the SRC including the land document i.e. sale deed, NEC, site plan, BCC and staff list, in support of its appeal and for consideration of the same by the Appeal Committee. That it is submitted that SRC has withdrawn the recognition of our institution without observing the documents submitted by the institution vide its replies to SCN issued from time to time. That it is submitted that the SRC failed to observe that the our institution has requested on several occasion to reduce the intake of our institution and to grant recognition to our institution in respect of only 50 seats (one unit), as per strength of 50 students duly sanctioned by the affiliating authority. That it is submitted that the SRC failed to observed that the SRC itself in its 342nd meeting considered the matter of our institution regarding issuance of recognition for one unit and decided to reduce intake from 2 to 1 unit. That it is submitted that SRC failed to observe that our institution fulfils all the requirements pertaining to infrastructural and instructional facilities, which are required for conducting one unit of B.Ed. course. That it is submitted that withdrawal order issued by the SRC is totally devoid of merit and is not as per statutory provisions, as mandated under NCTE Act, 1993. That it appears that SRC, NCTE proceeded in arbitrary manner without

considering the documents properly and without following the due procedure of SOP. That it is submitted that thus, withdrawal order dated 21.09.2020 of SRC is not maintainable and the appeal committee is requested to revert the decision taken by the SRC and direct the SRC to restore the recognition of our institution."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the withdrawal order that the grounds cited therein fall into two distinct categories, namely, (i) non-shifting of the institution to its own permanent premises; and (ii) deficiencies related to land / physical facilities and instructional facilities. The appellant in the appeal has not submitted anything about shifting of premises. However, in the course of presentation, the appellant stated that they had shifted to a new place in 2009. The file does not contain any correspondence relating to the proposal for shifting of premises after recognition was initially granted on 19/08/2008, following an inspection by the Visiting Team on 24/11/2007. The land documents forwarded by the appellant relate to a land at a place different from the one where inspection was conducted in November, 2007 and the report having recorded that the building was a leased one. In these circumstances, the first and foremost thing to be done is to ascertain whether the premises from which the institution is presently functioning have even been inspected by a team of the SRC. Then only the documents forwarded by the appellant can be meaningfully examined. Incidentally, it is seen that in none of the show cause notices issued, matter relating to shifting of premises was mentioned.

AND WHEREAS in the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the mater deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction that they may, after obtaining all relevant details from the appellant have a comprehensive inspection of the new premises, covering physical, infrastructural and instructional facilities, conducted and thereafter take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction that they may, after obtaining

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

all relevant details from the appellant have a comprehensive inspection of the new premises, covering physical, infrastructural and instructional facilities, conducted and thereafter take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Prabhath College of Education, Nandyal, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Prabhath College of Education, 864, Head Post Office Nandyal, bypass Road, Nandyal, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh – 518501.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-238/E-168464/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of S.V.N. College of Education, Maruthi Extension, Gayathri Nagar, Gayatri Road, Bangalore, Karnataka dated 26/04/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/APSO2221/B.Ed/KA/2019/13001 dated 10.12.2019 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was issued final Show Cause Notice on 10.10.2019, but the institution has not yet submitted its reply till date."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Sudip, Principal, S.V.N. College of Education, Maruthi Extension, Gayathri Nagar, Gayatri Road, Bangalore, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Institution did not receive any show cause notice dt. 26.04.2019 as stated in the withdrawal order. It is submitted that the institution was only served with final show cause notice dt. 10.10.19 that too in the end of November by which time the time period given was already expired and till that time the institution was not aware of the deficiencies which are to be complied with. Furthermore, the institution started to remove the deficiency as stated and started to comply as the documents required due deliberation and consideration and same were to be issued and verified by various Government bodies and hence took further time. Furthermore, preparation and completing all the documents in accordance with the Norms and Standards of the NCTE Act and proforma as mentioned are technical in nature and there was difficulty in fulfilling the same and needed an expert for the same. It is humbly submitted that the institution has all the relevant documents and approvals as mentioned in the final show cause, originals and photocopies of the same shall be placed before the Appeal Committee at the time of hearing. It is humbly submitted that the institution is imparting quality education since 2004 and has very good reputation and hence it is requested to kindly restore the recognition of the institution."

AND WHEREAS the appellant, with an email dated 10/12/2020, enclosed a copy of their letter dt. 28/10/2019 addressed to the Regional Director, SRC submitting compliance report to the final Show Cause Notice dated 10/10/2019. This letter is however not found in the file of the SRC. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the appellant's reply dt. 28/10/2019, to be sent to them by the appellant again along with its all enclosures and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, their reply dated 28/10/2019 with all enclosures within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the appellant's reply dt. 28/10/2019, to be sent to them by the appellant again along with its all enclosures and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, their reply dated 28/10/2019 with all enclosures within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of S.V.N. College of Education, Maruthi Extension, Gayathri Nagar, Gayatri Road, Bangalore, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, S.V.N. College of Education, Maruthi Extension, Gayathri Nagar, Gayatri Road, Bangalore, Karnataka – 560021.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-239/E-169016/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kamala College of Education, Thirunavalur, Ulundurpet Taluk, Villupuram, Tamil Nadu dated 08/11/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP14809/M.Ed/TN/2016-17/76326 dated 15.10.2015 of the Southern Regional Committee, summarily rejecting their application for recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course as per Regulation 7.2 (a) / 2 (b) on the grounds that "the land document submitted by the institution is in the name of the individual as read from the land document. Land document is in Regional language. English version was not submitted."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Subbha Somu, Representative, Kamala College of Education, Thirunavalur, Ulundurpet Taluk, Villupuram, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in the enclosed explanation, it was submitted that "They applied for M.Ed. programme with all proper enclosures to NCTE, Bangalore on 30/06/2015 but they have not received any communication so far. Recently, they came to know that their request was rejected. It is submitted that the land is in the name of the society only and not in the individual names and the translated document in English was also submitted to the NCTE."

AND WHEREAS the submission of the appeal has been delayed by four years, ten months and 24 days beyond the prescribed period of sixty days.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the provisions of Section 18 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, any person aggrieved by an order made under Section 14 or Section 15 or Section 17 of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within such period as may be prescribed. According to the provisions of Rule 10 of the NCTE Rules, 1997, any person aggrieved by an order made under the above-mentioned Sections of

the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within sixty days of issue of such orders. According to the provisions of Section 18 (2) of the NCTE Act, no appeal shall be admitted it if it is preferred after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor; provided such an appeal may be admitted after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, if the appellant satisfies the Council that he had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, in the appeal, merely stated that the reason for delay is 'Non receipt of the rejection order'. The Committee did not consider that this vague statement is a sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period. The Committee, therefore, decided not to admit the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that the appellant, in the appeal, merely stated that the reason for delay is 'Non receipt of the rejection order'. The Committee did not consider that this vague statement is a sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period. The Committee, therefore, decided not to admit the appeal.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Kamala College of Education, 172, Thirunavalur, Cuddalore Road, Ulundurpet Taluk, Villupuram, Tamil Nadu – 607204.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

172505/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

NCTE

F.No.89-240/E-168976/2020 Appeal/30th Mtg.-2020/3rd December, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 21/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dhubri Post Graduate Teacher Training College, Jhagrarpar (Previously Bidyapara), Jhagrarpar, Dhubri, Assam dated 28/10/2020 is against the Order No. ER-283.52/(AS-S/N-13/2001)/B.Ed./2020/63043 dated 16.09.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the ground that "there is a mismatch of floor-wise and total built up area mentioned in Building Plan (BP) and Building Completion Certificate (BCC)."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Abdul Awal, Principal and Sh. A. Mondal, Assistant Professor, Dhubri Post Graduate Teacher Training College, Jhagrarpar (Previously Bidyapara), Jhagrarpar, Dhubri, Assam presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "there is no such mismatch of floor wise and total built up area in the Building Plan (BP) and Building Completion Certificate (BCC) submitted with compliance report. However, there may be differences in the floor wise and total built up area mentioned in the BP & BCC submitted along with compliance report and earlier documents with ERC, NCTE due to demolition of old Assam type buildings and construction of new RCC buildings thereon. The matter please be considered. In withdrawal order of ERC NCTE, clause 4, it is mentioned that the institution has failed to submit reply of 1st show cause notice within the stipulated period of 21 days. But the institution has submitted the reply of the 1st show cause notice within due time by email and the hard copy of the same was also sent within stipulated period by speed post (no. es788764234in dated 28/5/2018). The college was established in 1994 and initial recognition was given by the NCTE in 1998 and subsequently revised recognition order was issued in 2015. the college is the only Teacher Training College within three Districts of Assam, namely, Dhubri, South Salmara-Mankachar and Goalpara District. The college has earned name and fame since long with

100 1st class pass out result in the last B.Ed. examination. Therefore, we request your kind honour to restore the recognition of the college for the interest of the greater student's community in particular and society as a whole please."

AND WHEREAS the Committee finding that the submissions made by the appellant are acceptable, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant, is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents enclosed to the appeal within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents enclosed to the appeal within 15 days of issue of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dhubri Post Graduate Teacher Training College, Jhagrarpar (Previously Bidyapara), Jhagrarpar, Dhubri, Assam to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Dhubri Post Graduate Teacher Training College, Patta No. 287, Jhagrarpar (Previously Bidyapara), Jhagrarpar, Dhubri, Assam – 783325.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Assam, Dispur.